

Interlaminar causality in a model of macaque cortex

Cliff C. Kerr^{1,2}, Jue Mo³, Samuel A. Neymotin⁴, Charles E. Schroeder⁵, Mingzhou Ding³, William W. Lytton^{1,4,6}

¹ Dept. of Physiology and Pharmacology, SUNY Downstate, Brooklyn, NY; ² School of Physics, University of Sydney, Australia; ³ Dept. of Biomedical Engineering, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL; ⁴ SUNY Downstate/NYU-Poly Joint Biomedical Engineering Program, Brooklyn, NY; ⁵ Nathan Kline Institute for Psychiatric Research, Orangeburg, NY; ⁶ Kings County Hospital, Brooklyn, NY

1. Introduction

- Hypothesis:** Attention modulates activity in layer 2/3 to increase cortical sensitivity to thalamic inputs.
- Aim:** To replicate and explore interlaminar sensory information processing using a detailed network model of the macaque inferotemporal cortex.
- Background:** The functional interactions of neocortical layers remain poorly understood. Computational modeling allows properties such as causality to be investigated more precisely than experimental studies alone.

2. Methods

- Experiment:** Two macaques performed a visual/auditory discrimination task with attention alternating between the modalities. 14-channel multielectrodes spanning all layers were chronically implanted in inferotemporal cortex (IT). Bandpass-filtered local field potentials (LFPs) and multiunit activities (MUAs) were recorded in both attend-visual and attend-auditory conditions.
- Simulation:** Event-driven, rule-based neurons were distributed across cortical layers (Figs. 1 and 2). Sensory input was modeled as impulses to L4; attention was modeled as increased drive to L2/3.




Fig. 1: Structure of the model. 13 cell types were distributed across 6 layers for 1880 cells total (25% shown here). E=excitatory pyramidal, I=inhibitory interneuron, L=low-threshold spiking.




Fig. 2: Connectivity between cell types (defined in Fig. 1); color indicates connection strength. Note strong intralaminar connections (along diagonal).

3. Results

- Spectra and evoked responses:** Experimental and simulated spectra (Fig. 3), evoked responses (Fig. 4), and spectrograms (Fig. 5) share several qualitative and quantitative characteristics:
 - Power-law profile, with experimental and simulated exponents of -1.76 ± 0.09 and -1.6 ± 0.1 , respectively ($r^2=0.92$ and $r^2=0.94$ respectively, for $f > 10$ Hz)
 - Signal-to-noise ratio in evoked responses highest in L4, then L2/3 and L5/6 (in experiment, 0.4, 0.05, and 0.002, respectively; in simulation, 1.2, 0.06, and 0.01)
 - Similar amounts of spectral variability across time: at 5 Hz, coefficients of variation were 0.13 and 0.15 for experiment and simulation, respectively




Fig. 5: Multiscale dynamics in layer 2/3: variability across small (top) and large (bottom) scales. Color shows normalized power.

- Granger causality:** Attention has large effects on interlaminar Granger causality in the simulations (Fig. 6):
 - Total Granger causality from L4 to L2/3 increased 24% (from 0.61 to 0.75), due to increased excitability in L2/3
 - Causality from L2/3 to L5 increased 27% (from 0.9 to 1.2), due to increased activation of L2/3
 - Causality from L4 to L5 increased 19% (from 0.44 to 0.53), as a combination of the above effects




Fig. 6: Simulated Granger causality between cortical layers; in each case, attention increases overall causality.




Fig. 3: Experimental and simulated LFP spectra for each layer. Vertical axes show normalized power ($\mu V^2/Hz$).




Fig. 4: Experimental and simulated evoked responses for each layer. Vertical axes show normalized voltage (μV).

4. Discussion

- Both experiment and the model found:
 - Low frequencies (< 10 Hz) had highest spectral power, with a roughly exponential decay at higher frequencies (> 10 Hz)
 - Layer 4 had the highest signal-to-noise ratio impulse response, followed by layer 2/3, with weaker responses in layers 5 and 6
- The model was used to investigate interlaminar causality in greater detail, which showed that:
 - Attention increases causality from L4 to L2/3 and from L2/3 to L5; direct causality from L4 to L5 was unchanged; the combination of these effects led to an increase in causality from L4 to L5
 - This suggests a simple mechanism by which attention could increase responsiveness to incoming sensory stimuli

Further information

E-mail: cliff.kerr@sydney.edu.au
Phone: +61 412 038 402
Web: <http://it.neurosim.downstate.edu>

References

Bollimunta A, Chen Y, Schroeder CE, Ding M (2008): Neuronal mechanisms of cortical alpha oscillations in awake-behaving macaques. *J Neurosci* 28:9976-88.
Mo J, Schroeder CE, Ding M (2011): Attentional Modulation of Alpha Oscillations in Macaque Inferotemporal Cortex. *J Neurosci* 31:878-82.
Neymotin SA, Lee H, Park E, Fenton AA, Lytton WW (2011) Emergence of physiological oscillation frequencies in a computer model of neocortex. *Front Comput Neurosci* 4:19.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by DARPA grant N66001-10-C-2008 and NIH grants MH79388, MH70498, and MH87275.